

## NBT Consult Submissions

---

**From:** Dale Curtis <campaigns@good.do>  
**Sent:** Friday, 6 April 2018 4:29 PM  
**To:** NBT Consult Submissions; Joel Fitzgibbon; David Littleproud; Tony Zappia; Bridget McKenzie; Niall Blair; Jill Hennessy; Steven Miles; Alannah MacTiernan; Meegan Fitzharris  
**Subject:** Submission re. Consultation paper on Food derived using 'new breeding techniques'

I am very strongly against the introduction of ANY genetically modified food for the following reasons:

Genetic modification techniques pose unique risks and all new genetic modification techniques should be assessed for safety before being allowed in our food. They should also be labelled so we are fully informed. This includes gene editing, GM rootstock grafting, cisgenesis, intragenesis RNA interference and null segregants. CRISPR was only invented 5 years ago. Reviews commissioned by the Austrian and Norwegian governments concluded that not enough is known about the risks (e.g. off target effects) posed by new GM techniques such as CRISPR. They recommended that products derived from these techniques require comprehensive case-by-case risk assessments. Gene editing techniques cause DNA double strand breaks and can be used sequentially to make dramatic differences to DNA. They are also prone to additional unexpected mutations. The risks associated with these techniques warrant pre-market safety assessment and approval. RNA interference is a GM technique and can result in heritable genetic changes so must also be assessed for safety before being used in our food. GM plants, animals and microbes all pose unique risks so assessing the GM production process is appropriate.

Yours sincerely, Dale Curtis Armidale, New South Wales, 2350, Australia

\_\_\_\_\_ This email was sent by Dale Curtis via Do Gooder, a website that allows people to contact you regarding issues they consider important. In accordance with web protocol FC 3834 we have set the FROM field of this email to our generic no-reply address at campaigns@good.do, however Dale provided an email address (curtis.dale@gmail.com) which we included in the REPLY-TO field.

Please reply to Dale Curtis at curtis.dale@gmail.com.

To learn more about Do Gooder visit [www.dogooder.co](http://www.dogooder.co) To learn more about web protocol FC 3834 visit: [www.rfc-base.org/rfc-3834.html](http://www.rfc-base.org/rfc-3834.html)

NOTE: Recipients of this email listed as <contact-forms@good.do> were actually sent the message via their online contact forms.

## NBT Consult Submissions

---

**From:** judith love <campaigns@good.do>  
**Sent:** Friday, 6 April 2018 4:32 PM  
**To:** NBT Consult Submissions; Joel Fitzgibbon; David Littleproud; Tony Zappia; Bridget McKenzie; Niall Blair; Jill Hennessy; Steven Miles; Alannah MacTiernan; Meegan Fitzharris  
**Subject:** Submission re. Consultation paper on Food derived using 'new breeding techniques'

I am concerned that insufficient evidence is available, big business being in charge, of keeping our food safe. I would never believe that anything genetically modified is safe for me nor for the environment. This process of growth affects the planet birds, plants, soil, people and any safety for me nor the planet has never been a major concern for the massive industrial giants pushing their product. It has been put back onto the peoples to defend their opposition to manufactured food where it must be for the producers to provide assurance and proof that it is safe.

Please do ensure that we do not overlook this and the first priority is to the planet and its people. I demand that all GM food be honestly and openly assessed for safety.

Yours sincerely, judith love

\_\_\_\_\_ This email was sent by judith love via Do Gooder, a website that allows people to contact you regarding issues they consider important. In accordance with web protocol FC 3834 we have set the FROM field of this email to our generic no-reply address at campaigns@good.do, however judith provided an email address (judimaha@gmail.com) which we included in the REPLY-TO field.

Please reply to judith love at judimaha@gmail.com.

To learn more about Do Gooder visit [www.dogooder.co](http://www.dogooder.co) To learn more about web protocol FC 3834 visit: [www.rfc-base.org/rfc-3834.html](http://www.rfc-base.org/rfc-3834.html)

NOTE: Recipients of this email listed as <contact-forms@good.do> were actually sent the message via their online contact forms.

## NBT Consult Submissions

---

**From:** Kerry Fewster <campaigns@good.do>  
**Sent:** Friday, 6 April 2018 4:34 PM  
**To:** NBT Consult Submissions; Joel Fitzgibbon; David Littleproud; Tony Zappia; Bridget McKenzie; Niall Blair; Jill Hennessy; Steven Miles; Alannah MacTiernan; Meegan Fitzharris  
**Subject:** Submission re. Consultation paper on Food derived using 'new breeding techniques'

All Genetic modification need to be assessed for safety before being allowed in food products. Food products that have been genetically modified need to be clearly labelled both at sales point and on all labels. Not enough is known about the risks of GM food each product required a comprehensive case-by -case study done. All Genetically Modified food has the potential to impact on animal, plants, humans and the continuation of our food sourced plants.

Yours sincerely, Kerry Fewster Granville, Western Australia, 6503, Australia

Please note: This submission is not to go public for everyone to view.

\_\_\_\_\_ This email was sent by Kerry Fewster via Do Gooder, a website that allows people to contact you regarding issues they consider important. In accordance with web protocol FC 3834 we have set the FROM field of this email to our generic no-reply address at campaigns@good.do, however Kerry provided an email address (KiahaBee7@gmail.com) which we included in the REPLY-TO field.

Please reply to Kerry Fewster at KiahaBee7@gmail.com.

To learn more about Do Gooder visit [www.dogooder.co](http://www.dogooder.co) To learn more about web protocol FC 3834 visit: [www.rfc-base.org/rfc-3834.html](http://www.rfc-base.org/rfc-3834.html)

NOTE: Recipients of this email listed as <contact-forms@good.do> were actually sent the message via their online contact forms.

## NBT Consult Submissions

---

**From:** Jodie Bruning <campaigns@good.do>  
**Sent:** Friday, 6 April 2018 4:35 PM  
**To:** NBT Consult Submissions; Joel Fitzgibbon; David Littleproud; Tony Zappia; Bridget McKenzie; Niall Blair; Jill Hennessy; Steven Miles; Alannah MacTiernan; Meegan Fitzharris  
**Subject:** Submission re. Consultation paper on Food derived using 'new breeding techniques'

I request that FSANZ do not attempt to confuse the public and that these new breeding techniques (NBTs) are in fact regulated as genetically modified organisms, as the 'genetic material has been altered by direct intervention through "modern biotechnological techniques".' As per the UN Cartagena Protocol on Biosafety.

It is unscientific to assume at this early stage in the technology that there have been no unintended genetic changes that may adversely harm public health (particularly pregnant mothers and children). At this stage most studies forwarded in risk assessment are also supplied by the industry seeking approvals and so presents risk of bias. Caution is essential due to risk of unintended gene expression.

The NBTs including gene editing, CRISPR, GM rootstock grafting, cisgenesis, intragenesis RNA interference and null segregants should all be regulated via case by case risk assessment.

3.1.1 Yes, food derived from organisms containing new pieces of DNA should be captured for pre-market safety assessment and approval. No – there should not be exceptions.

3.1.2 No, food from null segregant organisms NOT be excluded from pre-assessment and approval

3.1.3 No, foods from genome edited organisms carry more risk to public health than foods derived using chemical or radiation mutagenesis. (Gene editing techniques cause DNA double strand breaks and significantly change DNA. There is a risk of unexpected mutations.)

3.2 Other techniques such as RNA interference should also be labelled as genetically modified.

3.2.1 DNA methylation is a genetic modification technique and may result in heritable genetic changes and should be assessed for safety.

3.3 Yes a process based trigger is appropriate for assessing risk for pre-market approval to establish whether detected unintended changes are safe for human health.

Standard 1.5.2 To capture all techniques – Amend from: "gene technology means recombinant DNA techniques that alter the heritable genetic material of living cells or organisms."

To "gene technology means in vitro techniques that alter the heritable genetic material of living cells or organisms"

I consider that the Expert Advisory Group on New Breeding Techniques (EAG NBT) is not sufficiently balanced to provide expert advice on issues relevant to the review as it does not reflect wider public health interests from a perspective independent to biotechnology research and industry. The group is not in a position to provide balanced unbiased opinion that places public health and safety at the forefront of the decision-making process.

Nor does this expert group sufficiently represent premium food sectors seeking to compete in premium markets. Premium food sectors are clearly shifting to organic, GMO free and clean food. New Zealand and Australian producers (of unprocessed and processed food) – would be hamstrung and unable to compete in premium international markets without labelling requirements and consumer protections.

In addition, the regulatory regime should include “comprehensive untargeted profiling methods (such as omics) should be applied in order to detect and identify unintentional mutations in the entire host genome.” [Agapito-Tenfen, S.G. & Wikmark, O-G 2015]

Yours sincerely, Jodie Bruning

---

This email was sent by Jodie Bruning via Do Gooder, a website that allows people to contact you regarding issues they consider important. In accordance with web protocol FC 3834 we have set the FROM field of this email to our generic no-reply address at [campaigns@good.do](mailto:campaigns@good.do), however Jodie provided an email address ([jodie@bruning.net.nz](mailto:jodie@bruning.net.nz)) which we included in the REPLY-TO field.

Please reply to Jodie Bruning at [jodie@bruning.net.nz](mailto:jodie@bruning.net.nz).

To learn more about Do Gooder visit [www.dogooder.co](http://www.dogooder.co) To learn more about web protocol FC 3834 visit: [www.rfc-base.org/rfc-3834.html](http://www.rfc-base.org/rfc-3834.html)

NOTE: Recipients of this email listed as <[contact-forms@good.do](mailto:contact-forms@good.do)> were actually sent the message via their online contact forms.

## NBT Consult Submissions

---

**From:** J Marsh <campaigns@good.do>  
**Sent:** Friday, 6 April 2018 4:37 PM  
**To:** NBT Consult Submissions; Joel Fitzgibbon; David Littleproud; Tony Zappia; Bridget McKenzie; Niall Blair; Jill Hennessy; Steven Miles; Alannah MacTiernan; Meegan Fitzharris  
**Subject:** Submission re. Consultation paper on Food derived using 'new breeding techniques'

Please do not put our country at risk just because some one wants to profit!

Yours sincerely, J Marsh

\_\_\_\_\_ This email was sent by J Marsh via Do Gooder, a website that allows people to contact you regarding issues they consider important. In accordance with web protocol FC 3834 we have set the FROM field of this email to our generic no-reply address at campaigns@good.do, however J provided an email address (maralinga@activ8.net.au) which we included in the REPLY-TO field.

Please reply to J Marsh at maralinga@activ8.net.au.

To learn more about Do Gooder visit [www.dogooder.co](http://www.dogooder.co) To learn more about web protocol FC 3834 visit: [www.rfc-base.org/rfc-3834.html](http://www.rfc-base.org/rfc-3834.html)

NOTE: Recipients of this email listed as <contact-forms@good.do> were actually sent the message via their online contact forms.

## NBT Consult Submissions

---

**From:** Mark Taylor <campaigns@good.do>  
**Sent:** Friday, 6 April 2018 4:40 PM  
**To:** NBT Consult Submissions; Joel Fitzgibbon; David Littleproud; Tony Zappia; Bridget McKenzie; Niall Blair; Jill Hennessy; Steven Miles; Alannah MacTiernan; Meegan Fitzharris  
**Subject:** Submission re. Consultation paper on Food derived using 'new breeding techniques'

We have enough cancers and autoimmune diseases in our population already and do want ANY GMO's in our food supply.

Yours sincerely, Mark Taylor Parkerville, Western Australia, 6081, Australia

\_\_\_\_\_ This email was sent by Mark Taylor via Do Gooder, a website that allows people to contact you regarding issues they consider important. In accordance with web protocol FC 3834 we have set the FROM field of this email to our generic no-reply address at campaigns@good.do, however Mark provided an email address (mark@infinitesolutions.com.au) which we included in the REPLY-TO field.

Please reply to Mark Taylor at mark@infinitesolutions.com.au.

To learn more about Do Gooder visit [www.dogooder.co](http://www.dogooder.co) To learn more about web protocol FC 3834 visit: [www.rfc-base.org/rfc-3834.html](http://www.rfc-base.org/rfc-3834.html)

NOTE: Recipients of this email listed as <contact-forms@good.do> were actually sent the message via their online contact forms.

## NBT Consult Submissions

---

**From:** Elyane Messer <campaigns@good.do>  
**Sent:** Friday, 6 April 2018 4:43 PM  
**To:** NBT Consult Submissions; Joel Fitzgibbon; David Littleproud; Tony Zappia; Bridget McKenzie; Niall Blair; Jill Hennessy; Steven Miles; Alannah MacTiernan; Meegan Fitzharris  
**Subject:** Submission re. Consultation paper on Food derived using 'new breeding techniques'

We do not want ourselves and our families to be eating genetically modified foods that are not labelled and that have not been tested over a long period, such as 10 years, for safety to humans. currently the human race is becoming less able to combat diseases and particularly cancers. We are tricking our bodies in so many ways and we demand safe foods, that have been tested so that we can know that our health will not suffer. these foods need to be labelled so we have choice over what we are eating. Genetic modification techniques pose unique risks and all new genetic modification techniques should be assessed for safety before being allowed in our food. They should also be labelled so we are fully informed. This includes gene editing, GM rootstock grafting, cisgenesis, intragenesis RNA interference and null segregants. CRISPR was only invented 5 years ago . Reviews commissioned by the Austrian and Norwegian governments concluded that not enough is known about the risks (e.g. off target effects) posed by new GM techniques such as CRISPR. They recommended that products derived from these techniques require comprehensive case-by-case risk assessments. Gene editing techniques cause DNA double strand breaks and can be used sequentially to make dramatic differences to DNA. They are also prone to additional unexpected mutations. The risks associated with these techniques warrant pre-market safety assessment and approval. RNA interference is a GM technique and can result in heritable genetic changes so must also be assessed for safety before being used in our food. GM plants, animals and microbes all pose unique risks so assessing the GM production process is appropriate.your own comments.

Yours sincerely, Elyane Messer Queenscliff, New South Wales, 2096, Australia

\_\_\_\_\_ This email was sent by Elyane Messer via Do Gooder, a website that allows people to contact you regarding issues they consider important. In accordance with web protocol FC 3834 we have set the FROM field of this email to our generic no-reply address at campaigns@good.do, however Elyane provided an email address (elyane@optusnet.com.au) which we included in the REPLY-TO field.

Please reply to Elyane Messer at elyane@optusnet.com.au.

To learn more about Do Gooder visit [www.dogooder.co](http://www.dogooder.co) To learn more about web protocol FC 3834 visit: [www.rfc-base.org/rfc-3834.html](http://www.rfc-base.org/rfc-3834.html)

NOTE: Recipients of this email listed as <contact-forms@good.do> were actually sent the message via their online contact forms.



## NBT Consult Submissions

---

**From:** Frank Zaffiro <campaigns@good.do>  
**Sent:** Friday, 6 April 2018 4:47 PM  
**To:** NBT Consult Submissions; Joel Fitzgibbon; David Littleproud; Tony Zappia; Bridget McKenzie; Niall Blair; Jill Hennessy; Steven Miles; Alannah MacTiernan; Meegan Fitzharris  
**Subject:** Submission re. Consultation paper on Food derived using 'new breeding techniques'

Stop gmos they are not safe, a danger to the health of all those who consume them, they need vigorous testing. if you claim they are safe how about transparency. Concerned scientists are being silenced how about stop gmos and be on the side of the consumers. we dont want gmos. we dont want to consume gmos and organic produce should be cheaper than gmo's. stop gmo's

Yours sincerely, Frank Zaffiro Noble Park, Victoria, 3174, Australia

\_\_\_\_\_ This email was sent by Frank Zaffiro via Do Gooder, a website that allows people to contact you regarding issues they consider important. In accordance with web protocol FC 3834 we have set the FROM field of this email to our generic no-reply address at campaigns@good.do, however Frank provided an email address (tufapootsa70@outlook.com) which we included in the REPLY-TO field.

Please reply to Frank Zaffiro at tufapootsa70@outlook.com.

To learn more about Do Gooder visit [www.dogooder.co](http://www.dogooder.co) To learn more about web protocol FC 3834 visit: [www.rfc-base.org/rfc-3834.html](http://www.rfc-base.org/rfc-3834.html)

NOTE: Recipients of this email listed as <contact-forms@good.do> were actually sent the message via their online contact forms.

## NBT Consult Submissions

---

**From:** Tas Gazis <campaigns@good.do>  
**Sent:** Friday, 6 April 2018 4:54 PM  
**To:** NBT Consult Submissions; Joel Fitzgibbon; David Littleproud; Tony Zappia; Bridget McKenzie; Niall Blair; Jill Hennessy; Steven Miles; Alannah MacTiernan; Meegan Fitzharris  
**Subject:** Submission re. Consultation paper on Food derived using 'new breeding techniques'

We don't want any GM items in our food.

Yours sincerely, Tas Gazis

\_\_\_\_\_ This email was sent by Tas Gazis via Do Gooder, a website that allows people to contact you regarding issues they consider important. In accordance with web protocol FC 3834 we have set the FROM field of this email to our generic no-reply address at campaigns@good.do, however Tas provided an email address (tandhgazis@gmail.com) which we included in the REPLY-TO field.

Please reply to Tas Gazis at tandhgazis@gmail.com.

To learn more about Do Gooder visit [www.dogooder.co](http://www.dogooder.co) To learn more about web protocol FC 3834 visit: [www.rfc-base.org/rfc-3834.html](http://www.rfc-base.org/rfc-3834.html)

NOTE: Recipients of this email listed as <contact-forms@good.do> were actually sent the message via their online contact forms.

## NBT Consult Submissions

---

**From:** Jennifer Lawson <campaigns@good.do>  
**Sent:** Friday, 6 April 2018 5:07 PM  
**To:** NBT Consult Submissions; Joel Fitzgibbon; David Littleproud; Tony Zappia; Bridget McKenzie; Niall Blair; Jill Hennessy; Steven Miles; Alannah MacTiernan; Meegan Fitzharris  
**Subject:** Submission re. Consultation paper on Food derived using 'new breeding techniques'

Australian consumers deserve to know what they are eating.

NO-GM options need to be available and we need to be able to be informed on the food labels of the exact contents.

We are entitled to be in control of our health and well-being.

Our aquifers and food chains need to be protected.

Yours sincerely, Jennifer Lawson Point Piper, New South Wales, 2027, Australia

\_\_\_\_\_ This email was sent by Jennifer Lawson via Do Gooder, a website that allows people to contact you regarding issues they consider important. In accordance with web protocol FC 3834 we have set the FROM field of this email to our generic no-reply address at campaigns@good.do, however Jennifer provided an email address (jenniferjlawson@icloud.com) which we included in the REPLY-TO field.

Please reply to Jennifer Lawson at jenniferjlawson@icloud.com.

To learn more about Do Gooder visit [www.dogooder.co](http://www.dogooder.co) To learn more about web protocol FC 3834 visit: [www.rfc-base.org/rfc-3834.html](http://www.rfc-base.org/rfc-3834.html)

NOTE: Recipients of this email listed as <contact-forms@good.do> were actually sent the message via their online contact forms.

## NBT Consult Submissions

---

**From:** Dana Alfred <campaigns@good.do>  
**Sent:** Friday, 6 April 2018 5:14 PM  
**To:** NBT Consult Submissions; Joel Fitzgibbon; David Littleproud; Tony Zappia; Bridget McKenzie; Niall Blair; Jill Hennessy; Steven Miles; Alannah MacTiernan; Meegan Fitzharris  
**Subject:** Submission re. Consultation paper on Food derived using 'new breeding techniques'

Australian produce is sought out by consumers wishing to avoid GMOs. I will not buy USA imported fruit and vegetables because of the use of GMO technology in US agriculture. I avoid soy, canola, corn syrup, maize, vegetable oil, etc. whenever shopping because I suspect they can be GMO products. Australia benefits from its reputation as a safe producer. People buy Australian grown, even when it means they need to check barcodes to be sure. Consumers are forced to go out of their way to protect their own health because their desires to avoid GMOs are not respected through adequate labeling laws. Many consumers pay more for products that are certified organic, when a non-GMO label would be satisfactory. This raises the cost of living for health conscious consumers. Consumers pay more to avoid GMOs. This should be enough of a reason for Australia to be a GMO-free zone.

Yours sincerely, Dana Alfred Warana, Queensland, 4575, Australia

\_\_\_\_\_ This email was sent by Dana Alfred via Do Gooder, a website that allows people to contact you regarding issues they consider important. In accordance with web protocol FC 3834 we have set the FROM field of this email to our generic no-reply address at campaigns@good.do, however Dana provided an email address (danaalfred@gmail.com) which we included in the REPLY-TO field.

Please reply to Dana Alfred at danaalfred@gmail.com.

To learn more about Do Gooder visit [www.dogooder.co](http://www.dogooder.co) To learn more about web protocol FC 3834 visit: [www.rfc-base.org/rfc-3834.html](http://www.rfc-base.org/rfc-3834.html)

NOTE: Recipients of this email listed as <contact-forms@good.do> were actually sent the message via their online contact forms.

## NBT Consult Submissions

---

**From:** Sarah Neal <campaigns@good.do>  
**Sent:** Friday, 6 April 2018 5:28 PM  
**To:** NBT Consult Submissions; Joel Fitzgibbon; David Littleproud; Tony Zappia; Bridget McKenzie; Niall Blair; Jill Hennessy; Steven Miles; Alannah MacTiernan; Meegan Fitzharris  
**Subject:** Submission re. Consultation paper on Food derived using 'new breeding techniques'

Reviews commissioned by the Austrian and Norwegian governments concluded that not enough is known about the risks these new GM techniques pose. They recommended that products derived from them require comprehensive case-by-case risk assessments.

Yours sincerely, Sarah Neal Manly, New South Wales, 2095, Australia

\_\_\_\_\_ This email was sent by Sarah Neal via Do Gooder, a website that allows people to contact you regarding issues they consider important. In accordance with web protocol FC 3834 we have set the FROM field of this email to our generic no-reply address at campaigns@good.do, however Sarah provided an email address (psneal@hotmail.com) which we included in the REPLY-TO field.

Please reply to Sarah Neal at psneal@hotmail.com.

To learn more about Do Gooder visit [www.dogooder.co](http://www.dogooder.co) To learn more about web protocol FC 3834 visit: [www.rfc-base.org/rfc-3834.html](http://www.rfc-base.org/rfc-3834.html)

NOTE: Recipients of this email listed as <contact-forms@good.do> were actually sent the message via their online contact forms.

## NBT Consult Submissions

---

**From:** David Brown <campaigns@good.do>  
**Sent:** Friday, 6 April 2018 5:33 PM  
**To:** NBT Consult Submissions; Joel Fitzgibbon; David Littleproud; Tony Zappia; Bridget McKenzie; Niall Blair; Jill Hennessy; Steven Miles; Alannah MacTiernan; Meegan Fitzharris  
**Subject:** Submission re. Consultation paper on Food derived using 'new breeding techniques'

I vigorously object to any GMO products into our foods without clear labelling

Yours sincerely, David Brown Labrador, Queensland, 4215, Australia

\_\_\_\_\_ This email was sent by David Brown via Do Gooder, a website that allows people to contact you regarding issues they consider important. In accordance with web protocol FC 3834 we have set the FROM field of this email to our generic no-reply address at campaigns@good.do, however David provided an email address (dabrown@westnet.com.au) which we included in the REPLY-TO field.

Please reply to David Brown at dabrown@westnet.com.au.

To learn more about Do Gooder visit [www.dogooder.co](http://www.dogooder.co) To learn more about web protocol FC 3834 visit: [www.rfc-base.org/rfc-3834.html](http://www.rfc-base.org/rfc-3834.html)

NOTE: Recipients of this email listed as <contact-forms@good.do> were actually sent the message via their online contact forms.

## NBT Consult Submissions

---

**From:** Leen Auperle <campaigns@good.do>  
**Sent:** Friday, 6 April 2018 5:41 PM  
**To:** NBT Consult Submissions; Joel Fitzgibbon; David Littleproud; Tony Zappia; Bridget McKenzie; Niall Blair; Jill Hennessy; Steven Miles; Alannah MacTiernan; Meegan Fitzharris  
**Subject:** Submission re. Consultation paper on Food derived using 'new breeding techniques'

I strongly oppose the deregulation of genetically modified techniques in animal, plants and microbes. Not enough is known about GM products entering our environment and food chain. The risks are enormous and the results could be catastrophic.

Yours sincerely, Leen Auperle

\_\_\_\_\_ This email was sent by Leen Auperle via Do Gooder, a website that allows people to contact you regarding issues they consider important. In accordance with web protocol FC 3834 we have set the FROM field of this email to our generic no-reply address at campaigns@good.do, however Leen provided an email address (rachmanaup@hotmail.com) which we included in the REPLY-TO field.

Please reply to Leen Auperle at rachmanaup@hotmail.com.

To learn more about Do Gooder visit [www.dogooder.co](http://www.dogooder.co) To learn more about web protocol FC 3834 visit: [www.rfc-base.org/rfc-3834.html](http://www.rfc-base.org/rfc-3834.html)

NOTE: Recipients of this email listed as <contact-forms@good.do> were actually sent the message via their online contact forms.

## NBT Consult Submissions

---

**From:** Glenys Davies <campaigns@good.do>  
**Sent:** Friday, 6 April 2018 5:50 PM  
**To:** NBT Consult Submissions; Joel Fitzgibbon; David Littleproud; Tony Zappia; Bridget McKenzie; Niall Blair; Jill Hennessy; Steven Miles; Alannah MacTiernan; Meegan Fitzharris  
**Subject:** Submission re. Consultation paper on Food derived using 'new breeding techniques'

Genetic modification techniques pose unique risks and all new genetic modification techniques should be assessed for safety before being allowed in our food. They should also be labelled so we are fully informed. This includes gene editing, GM rootstock grafting, cisgenesis, intragenesis RNA interference and null segregants.

CRISPR was only invented 5 years ago . Reviews commissioned by the Austrian and Norwegian governments concluded that not enough is known about the risks (e.g. off target effects) posed by new GM techniques such as CRISPR. They recommended that products derived from these techniques require comprehensive case-by-case risk assessments.

Gene editing techniques cause DNA double strand breaks and can be used sequentially to make dramatic differences to DNA. They are also prone to additional unexpected mutations. The risks associated with these techniques warrant pre-market safety assessment and approval.

RNA interference is a GM technique and can result in heritable genetic changes so must also be assessed for safety before being used in our food.

GM plants, animals and microbes all pose unique risks so assessing the GM production process is appropriate.

Please read the email tips above for some points you could include in your own comments.

Yours sincerely, Glenys Davies Inglewood, Western Australia, 6052, Australia

\_\_\_\_\_ This email was sent by Glenys Davies via Do Gooder, a website that allows people to contact you regarding issues they consider important. In accordance with web protocol FC 3834 we have set the FROM field of this email to our generic no-reply address at campaigns@good.do, however Glenys provided an email address (glenysanned@gmail.com) which we included in the REPLY-TO field.

Please reply to Glenys Davies at glenysanned@gmail.com.

To learn more about Do Gooder visit [www.dogooder.co](http://www.dogooder.co) To learn more about web protocol FC 3834 visit: [www.rfc-base.org/rfc-3834.html](http://www.rfc-base.org/rfc-3834.html)

NOTE: Recipients of this email listed as <contact-forms@good.do> were actually sent the message via their online contact forms.



## NBT Consult Submissions

---

**From:** Christine Cooper <campaigns@good.do>  
**Sent:** Friday, 6 April 2018 5:52 PM  
**To:** NBT Consult Submissions; Joel Fitzgibbon; David Littleproud; Tony Zappia; Bridget McKenzie; Niall Blair; Jill Hennessy; Steven Miles; Alannah MacTiernan; Meegan Fitzharris  
**Subject:** Submission re. Consultation paper on Food derived using 'new breeding techniques'

I am a UK citizen but the effects of GMO in any part of the world are unknown. Seeds travel .They are viable as trails in Canada show & can & will grow elsewhere. Once one government bends to the will of these monstrous companies it makes the case more difficult for others. Stand together, stand firm. NO GM crops or animals. We do not know where it will lead or end. You have our health and the environment to protect it is your duty and responsibility.

Yours sincerely, Christine Cooper

\_\_\_\_\_ This email was sent by Christine Cooper via Do Gooder, a website that allows people to contact you regarding issues they consider important. In accordance with web protocol FC 3834 we have set the FROM field of this email to our generic no-reply address at campaigns@good.do, however Christine provided an email address (gessima@uwclub.net) which we included in the REPLY-TO field.

Please reply to Christine Cooper at gessima@uwclub.net.

To learn more about Do Gooder visit [www.dogooder.co](http://www.dogooder.co) To learn more about web protocol FC 3834 visit: [www.rfc-base.org/rfc-3834.html](http://www.rfc-base.org/rfc-3834.html)

NOTE: Recipients of this email listed as <contact-forms@good.do> were actually sent the message via their online contact forms.

## NBT Consult Submissions

---

**From:** Stuart Eady <campaigns@good.do>  
**Sent:** Friday, 6 April 2018 6:09 PM  
**To:** NBT Consult Submissions; Joel Fitzgibbon; David Littleproud; Tony Zappia; Bridget McKenzie; Niall Blair; Jill Hennessy; Steven Miles; Alannah MacTiernan; Meegan Fitzharris  
**Subject:** Submission re. Consultation paper on Food derived using 'new breeding techniques'

All GM food is to be assessed for safety

Yours sincerely, Stuart Eady Mullaloo, Western Australia, 6027, Australia

\_\_\_\_\_ This email was sent by Stuart Eady via Do Gooder, a website that allows people to contact you regarding issues they consider important. In accordance with web protocol FC 3834 we have set the FROM field of this email to our generic no-reply address at campaigns@good.do, however Stuart provided an email address (jodono@bigpond.com) which we included in the REPLY-TO field.

Please reply to Stuart Eady at jodono@bigpond.com.

To learn more about Do Gooder visit [www.dogooder.co](http://www.dogooder.co) To learn more about web protocol FC 3834 visit: [www.rfc-base.org/rfc-3834.html](http://www.rfc-base.org/rfc-3834.html)

NOTE: Recipients of this email listed as <contact-forms@good.do> were actually sent the message via their online contact forms.

## NBT Consult Submissions

---

**From:** R Chapman <campaigns@good.do>  
**Sent:** Friday, 6 April 2018 6:12 PM  
**To:** NBT Consult Submissions; Joel Fitzgibbon; David Littleproud; Tony Zappia; Bridget McKenzie; Niall Blair; Jill Hennessy; Steven Miles; Alannah MacTiernan; Meegan Fitzharris  
**Subject:** Submission re. Consultation paper on Food derived using 'new breeding techniques'

Genetic modification techniques pose unique risks and all new genetic modification techniques should be assessed for safety before being allowed in our food. They should also be labelled so we are fully informed. This includes gene editing, GM rootstock grafting, cisgenesis, intragenesis RNA interference and null segregants.

CRISPR was only invented 5 years ago . Reviews commissioned by the Austrian and Norwegian governments concluded that not enough is known about the risks (e.g. off target effects) posed by new GM techniques such as CRISPR. They recommended that products derived from these techniques require comprehensive case-by-case risk assessments.

Gene editing techniques cause DNA double strand breaks and can be used sequentially to make dramatic differences to DNA. They are also prone to additional unexpected mutations. The risks associated with these techniques warrant pre-market safety assessment and approval.

RNA interference is a GM technique and can result in heritable genetic changes so must also be assessed for safety before being used in our food.

GM plants, animals and microbes all pose unique risks so assessing the GM production process is appropriate.

Yours sincerely, R Chapman

\_\_\_\_\_ This email was sent by R Chapman via Do Gooder, a website that allows people to contact you regarding issues they consider important. In accordance with web protocol FC 3834 we have set the FROM field of this email to our generic no-reply address at campaigns@good.do, however R provided an email address (rosem@internode.on.net) which we included in the REPLY-TO field.

Please reply to R Chapman at rosem@internode.on.net.

To learn more about Do Gooder visit [www.dogooder.co](http://www.dogooder.co) To learn more about web protocol FC 3834 visit: [www.rfc-base.org/rfc-3834.html](http://www.rfc-base.org/rfc-3834.html)

NOTE: Recipients of this email listed as <contact-forms@good.do> were actually sent the message via their online contact forms.

## NBT Consult Submissions

---

**From:** Jody Cauduro <campaigns@good.do>  
**Sent:** Friday, 6 April 2018 7:01 PM  
**To:** NBT Consult Submissions; Joel Fitzgibbon; David Littleproud; Tony Zappia; Bridget McKenzie; Niall Blair; Jill Hennessy; Steven Miles; Alannah MacTiernan; Meegan Fitzharris  
**Subject:** Submission re. Consultation paper on Food derived using 'new breeding techniques'

Genetic modification techniques pose unique risks and all new genetic modification techniques should be assessed for safety before being allowed in our food. They should also be labelled so we are fully informed. This includes gene editing, GM rootstock grafting, cisgenesis, intragenesis RNA interference and null segregants. CRISPR was only invented 5 years ago . Reviews commissioned by the Austrian and Norwegian governments concluded that not enough is known about the risks (e.g. off target effects) posed by new GM techniques such as CRISPR. They recommended that products derived from these techniques require comprehensive case-by-case risk assessments. Gene editing techniques cause DNA double strand breaks and can be used sequentially to make dramatic differences to DNA. They are also prone to additional unexpected mutations. The risks associated with these techniques warrant pre-market safety assessment and approval. RNA interference is a GM technique and can result in heritable genetic changes so must also be assessed for safety before being used in our food. GM plants, animals and microbes all pose unique risks so assessing the GM production process is appropriate. Yours sincerely, Jody Cauduro Cordeaux Heights, New South Wales, 2526, Australia

---

This email was sent by Jody Cauduro via Do Gooder, a website that allows people to contact you regarding issues they consider important. In accordance with web protocol FC 3834 we have set the FROM field of this email to our generic no-reply address at campaigns@good.do, however Jody provided an email address (joden@aapt.net.au) which we included in the REPLY-TO field.

Please reply to Jody Cauduro at joden@aapt.net.au.

To learn more about Do Gooder visit [www.dogooder.co](http://www.dogooder.co) To learn more about web protocol FC 3834 visit: [www.rfc-base.org/rfc-3834.html](http://www.rfc-base.org/rfc-3834.html)

NOTE: Recipients of this email listed as <contact-forms@good.do> were actually sent the message via their online contact forms.

## NBT Consult Submissions

---

**From:** Judith Harvey <campaigns@good.do>  
**Sent:** Friday, 6 April 2018 7:09 PM  
**To:** NBT Consult Submissions; Joel Fitzgibbon; David Littleproud; Tony Zappia; Bridget McKenzie; Niall Blair; Jill Hennessy; Steven Miles; Alannah MacTiernan; Meegan Fitzharris  
**Subject:** Submission re. Consultation paper on Food derived using 'new breeding techniques'

Reviews commissioned by the Austrian and Norwegian governments concluded that not enough is known about the risks these new GM techniques pose. They recommended that products derived from them require comprehensive case-by-case risk assessments. Yours sincerely,

Judith Harvey Woodford, New South Wales, 2778, Australia

\_\_\_\_\_ This email was sent by Judith Harvey via Do Gooder, a website that allows people to contact you regarding issues they consider important. In accordance with web protocol FC 3834 we have set the FROM field of this email to our generic no-reply address at campaigns@good.do, however Judith provided an email address (jai7502001@gmail.com) which we included in the REPLY-TO field.

Please reply to Judith Harvey at jai7502001@gmail.com.

To learn more about Do Gooder visit [www.dogooder.co](http://www.dogooder.co) To learn more about web protocol FC 3834 visit: [www.rfc-base.org/rfc-3834.html](http://www.rfc-base.org/rfc-3834.html)

NOTE: Recipients of this email listed as <contact-forms@good.do> were actually sent the message via their online contact forms.